

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
EASTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA  
RICHMOND DIVISION**

PETER VLAMING,	)	Civil Action No. 3:19-cv-00773
	)	
Plaintiff,	)	Judge John A. Gibney Jr.
	)	
v.	)	
	)	
WEST POINT SCHOOL BOARD; LAURA	)	
ABEL, in her official capacity as Division	)	
Superintendent; JONATHAN HOCHMAN, in	)	
his official capacity as Principal of West Point	)	
High School; and SUZANNE AUNSPACH, or	)	
her successor in office, in her official capacity as	)	
Assistant Principal of West Point High School,	)	
	)	
Defendants.	)	

**REPLY BRIEF IN SUPPORT OF JOHN DOE’S  
MOTION TO PROCEED PSEUDONYMOUSLY**

Plaintiff Peter Vlaming does not oppose proposed Intervenor John Doe’s motion to proceed under a pseudonym (ECF No. 9), but objects to his choice of the first name “John” for that pseudonym. Vlaming’s objections lack merit. Courts generally permit pseudonymous litigants, including transgender litigants, to select their own pseudonyms. Courts extend that courtesy even when transgender litigants choose not to proceed pseudonymously. Recognizing the importance of referring to transgender litigants by the name and pronouns that correspond with the litigant’s gender identity, courts commonly use the name and pronouns used by a transgender litigant. *See, e.g., Equal Employment Opportunity Comm’n v. R.G. & G.R. Harris Funeral Homes, Inc.*, 884 F.3d 560, 566 n.1 (6th Cir. 2018) (“We refer to Stephens using female pronouns, in accordance with the preference she has expressed through her briefing to this court.”), *cert. granted in part*, 139 S. Ct. 1599 (2019); *Brown v. Wilson*, No. 3:13CV599, 2015 WL 3885984 (E.D. Va. June 23,

2015) (referring to transgender *pro se* inmate using her female name and female pronouns). In this case, using “John” is not only consistent with John’s gender identity, but also reflects that he has legally changed his name to a traditionally male name, and has legally changed his sex marker to male. *See* Declaration of John Doe in Support of Motion to Intervene and Motion to Proceed under Pseudonym, ECF No. 8-1, at ¶ 7.

The cases cited by Vlaming do not support deviating from this longstanding practice. In *Doe ex rel. Doe v. Lower Merion School District*, 665 F.3d 524 (3d Cir. 2011), and *Doe v. Mercer Island School District No. 400*, 288 F. App’x 426 (9th Cir. 2008), the student plaintiffs filed their complaints using the pseudonym “Student Doe.” *Doe v. Lower Merion Sch. Dist.*, Case No. 09-2095, ECF No. 1 (E.D. Pa.); *Doe v. Mercer Island Sch. Dist. No. 400*, Case No. 06-cv-395, ECF No. 1 (W.D. Wash.). Both the Court and defendants adopted the plaintiffs’ preferred pseudonyms. Here, like the plaintiffs in the cases cited above, as a proposed intervenor, John Doe has clearly and unequivocally stated how he wants the Court and parties to refer to him.<sup>1</sup>

Permitting John Doe to use the first name “John” also does not require the Court to “prematurely opin[e]” on the merits of this case. *See* Plaintiff’s Opposition to the Use of John Doe as a Pseudonym for the Student, ECF No. 29 (“Doe Opp.”) at 2. As Vlaming has conceded through his actions and in his pleadings, this case is not about the use of John’s stereotypically male first name—Vlaming in fact touts that he has willingly used John’s male name (or the male French name John chose) in most of their interactions, and has not claimed any legal right or religious objection that would prevent him from doing so. *See, e.g.*, Memo. in Opp. to Mot. to Intervene, ECF No. 28, at 1. Instead, Vlaming seeks to exempt himself from Defendants’ antidiscrimination

---

<sup>1</sup> John’s own clearly expressed desire to use a male name also obviates any concern raised by Vlaming regarding the effect of using a male pseudonym on John’s privacy interests. *See* Doe Opp., ECF No. 29, at 2.

and anti-harassment policies—specifically, regarding his own pronoun use for transgender students—by avoiding male pronouns in referring to John. However, John’s use of “John Doe” as his pseudonym in this action would not require Vlaming to use male pronouns to refer to John in Vlaming’s own papers, as evidenced by Vlaming’s use of “Movant” or “Proposed Intervenor” in his opposition to John’s motion to intervene. Indeed, anticipating that Vlaming would not want to use male pronouns to refer to John in his written submissions in this case, counsel for John Doe preemptively used different last names for John and his next friend (“John Doe” and “Jane Roe,” respectively) so that Vlaming could easily distinguish them within his own papers without using first names, pronouns, or honorifics, if Vlaming deems it necessary. Whether the Court and John use “John” and male pronouns to refer to John Doe is irrelevant to this inquiry, however. Vlaming’s claim is that he *himself* should be exempt from the School District’s policies in his use of pronouns to refer to transgender students; he makes no claim that *other parties* should be required to cease using John’s chosen pronouns as well.

Finally, Vlaming’s assertion that the Court’s use of “John” or male pronouns could improperly influence a jury is misplaced. *See Doe Opp.*, ECF No. 29, at 2. The legally relevant facts in this case are not in dispute, and Vlaming’s claims, if not dismissed at the pleading stage, are likely to be resolved on summary judgment. Even if the Court concludes that relevant questions of fact preclude summary judgment, Vlaming’s concerns are best addressed through motions *in limine* and jury instructions. At that stage, the Court will be in the best position to consider those concerns in light of the evidence and witnesses that will be presented to the jury.

### CONCLUSION

The existing parties in this case acknowledge that John Doe meets each of the criteria for proceeding under a pseudonym in this matter. Further, Vlaming has provided no legitimate basis for denying John Doe’s request to use “John” as a first name. Based on the foregoing reasons,

John Doe, by his next friend Jane Roe, respectfully requests that the Court enter an order permitting him and his next friend to proceed under those pseudonyms and directing the parties to refrain from posting their identifying information on the Court's docket.

[SIGNATURE BLOCK ON FOLLOWING PAGE.]

Dated: December 16, 2019

Respectfully submitted,

LOCKE & QUINN

NATIONAL CENTER FOR LESBIAN  
RIGHTS

/s/ Colleen M. Quinn, Esq.

/s/ Asaf Orr

Colleen Marea Quinn, Esq. (VSB #29282)  
1802 Bayberry Court, Suite 103  
Richmond, VA 23226  
Telephone: (804) 545-9406  
Facsimile: (804) 545-9411  
quinn@lockequinn.com

Asaf Orr (*pro hac vice*)  
Shannon Minter  
Christopher Stoll  
870 Market Street, Suite 370  
San Francisco, CA 94102  
Telephone: (415) 365-1326  
Facsimile: (415) 392-8442  
aorr@nclrights.org

JENNER & BLOCK LLP

*Counsel for John Doe*

/s/ Luke Platzer

Luke Platzer (*pro hac vice*)  
1099 New York Avenue, NW  
Washington, DC 20001  
Telephone: (202) 639-6000  
Facsimile: (202) 639-6066  
lplatzer@jenner.com

Amy Egerton-Wiley  
Ariel Shpigel  
633 West 5th Street, Suite 3600  
Los Angeles, CA 90071  
Telephone: (213) 239-5100  
Facsimile: (213) 239-5199

Cayman Mitchell  
919 Third Avenue  
New York, NY 10022  
Telephone: (212) 891-1600  
Facsimile: (212) 891-1699

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify on the 16th day of December, 2019, I electronically filed the foregoing John Doe's Opposition to Plaintiff's Motion to Remand, using the Court's CM/ECF system, which will send a notification of such filing (NEF) to all attorneys of record:

J. Caleb Dalton  
Virginia State Bar #83790  
ALLIANCE DEFENDING FREEDOM,  
440 First Street NW, Suite 600  
Washington, D.C. 20001  
Tel: (202) 393-8690  
Fax: (202) 347-3622  
[cdalton@ADFlegal.org](mailto:cdalton@ADFlegal.org)

Shawn A. Voyles  
Virginia State Bar #43277  
MCKENRY DANCIGERS DAWSON, P.C.  
192 Ballard Court, Suite 400  
Virginia Beach, VA 23462  
Tel: (757) 461-2500  
Fax: (757) 461-2341  
[savoyles@va-law.org](mailto:savoyles@va-law.org)

*Counsel for Plaintiff*

Stacy Leann Haney  
HANEY PHINYOWATTANACHIP PLLC  
11 South 12th Street  
Suite 300C  
Richmond, VA 23219  
Tel: (804) 500-0301  
Fax: (804) 500-0309  
[shaney@haneyphinyo.com](mailto:shaney@haneyphinyo.com)

*Counsel for Defendants*

WILMER CUTLER PICKERING HALE & DORR LLP  
Alan Schoenfeld  
7 World Trade Center  
250 Greenwich Street  
New York, NY 20007

Tel: (212) 937-7294  
Fax: (212) 230-8888  
alan.schoenfeld@wilmerhale.com

Bruce Berman  
Paul Wolfson  
Tania Faransso  
1875 Pennsylvania Avenue NW  
Washington D.C. 20006  
Tel: (202) 663-6173  
Fax: (202) 663-6363  
bruce.berman@wilmerhale.com  
paul.wolfson@wilmerhale.com  
tania.faransso@wilmerhale.com

*Counsel for Defendant West Point School Board*

BY:     /s/ Colleen M. Quinn, Esq      
Colleen Marea Quinn, Esq. (VSB #29282)  
Locke & Quinn  
1802 Bayberry Court, Suite 103  
Richmond, VA 23226  
Telephone: (804) 545-9406  
Fax: (804) 545-9411  
quinn@lockequinn.com  
*Counsel for John Doe*